


The book was found

The Party Of Death: The Democrats, The Media, The Courts, And The Disregard For Human Life





Synopsis

 \tilde{A} \hat{A} Is the Democratic Party the $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ $\neg \hat{A}$ "Party of Death $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ $\neg \hat{A}$. If you look at their agenda they are. ITââ ¬â,,¢S NOT JUST abortion-on-demand. Itââ ¬â,,¢s euthanasia, embryo destruction, even infanticide $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ $\neg\hat{a}$ and a potentially deadly concern with $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ $\neg A$ the quality of life $\tilde{A}\phi\hat{a}$ $\neg A$ of disabled people. If you think these issues donA¢â ¬â,¢t concern youA¢â ¬â •quess again. The Party of Death is roaring into the White House! In The Party of Death, Ponnuru details how left-wing radicals, using abortion as their lever, took over the Democratic Partyâ⠬⠕and how they have used their power to corrupt our law and politics, abolish our fundamental right to life, and push the envelope in ever more dangerous directions. In The Party of Death, Ponnuru reveals: How Hillary Clinton could use the abortion issue (but not in the way you think) Why the conventional wisdom about Roe v. Wade is a lie How the party of death $\tilde{A}\phi \hat{a} - \hat{a}$ •a coalition of special interests ranging from Planned Parenthood to Hollywoodâ⠬⠕came to own the Democratic Party How the mainstream media promotes the party of death Why Jesse Jackson, Al Gore, and other leading liberals gave up being pro-life How liberals use animal rights to displace human rights The Democratic presidential candidate who said that infanticide is a mother \$\tilde{A}\psi a -\hat{a}_{\psi} \psi s â⠬œchoiceâ⠬• How doctorsâ⠬⠕and other health care professionalsâ⠬⠕are being coerced, by law, into violating their consciences The ultrasound revolution: why there \$\tilde{A}\psi \tilde{a} \quad -\tilde{a}_{\psi} \phi s hope to stop the party of death Ponnuru $\hat{A}\phi\hat{a} - \hat{a},\phi$ s shocking expos $\hat{A}f\mathcal{A}$: \hat{A} \hat{A} shows just how extreme the Party of Death has become as they seek to destroy every inconvenient life, demand fealty to their radical agenda, and punish anyone who defies them. But he also shows how the tide is turning, how the Party of Death can be defeated, and why its last victim might be the Democratic Party itself. Ramesh Ponnuru, senior editor at National Review, is a

Book Information

Hardcover: 320 pages

Publisher: Regnery Publishing (April 24, 2006)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 1596980044

ISBN-13: 978-1596980044

Product Dimensions: 9.2 x 6.3 x 1.2 inches

Shipping Weight: 1 pounds (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 3.3 out of 5 stars 71 customer reviews

Best Sellers Rank: #1,579,055 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #25 in A A Books > Medical

Books > Medicine > Euthanasia #302 inà Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Women's Studies > Abortion & Birth Control #1355 inà Â Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Politics & Government > Elections & Political Process > Political Parties

Customer Reviews

Is the Democratic Party the "Party of Death"? If you look at their agenda they are. IT'S NOT JUST abortion-on-demand. It’:s euthanasia, embryo destruction, even infanticide—:and a potentially deadly concern with "the quality of life" of disabled people. If you think these issues don't concern you—quess again. The Party of Death could be roaring into the White House, as National Review senior editor Ramesh Ponnuru shows, in the person of Hillary Rodham Clinton. In The Party of Death, Ponnuru details how left-wing radicals, using abortion as their lever, took over the Democratic Party— and how they have used their power to corrupt our law and politics, abolish our fundamental right to life, and push the envelope in ever more dangerous directions. In The Party of Death, Ponnuru reveals: * How Hillary Clinton could use the abortion issue (but not in the way you think) to become president * Why the conventional wisdom about Roe v.Wade is a lie * How the party of death—a coalition of special interests ranging from Planned Parenthood to Hollywood—came to own the Democratic Party * How the mainstream media promotes the party of death * Why Jesse Jackson, Al Gore, and other leading liberals gave up being pro-life * How liberals use animal rights to displace human rights * The Democratic presidential candidate who said that infanticide is a mother \$\pix\$ #x92;s "choice" * How doctors \$\pix\$ #x97; and other health care professionals— are being coerced, by law, into violating their consciences * The ultrasound revolution: why there \$\pmu\x\92;\$ hope to stop the party of death Ponnuru \$\pmu\x\92;\$ shocking expos $\tilde{A}f\hat{A}\odot$ shows just how extreme the Party of Death has become as they seek to destroy every inconvenient life, demand fealty to their radical agenda, and punish anyone who defies them. But he also shows how the tide is turning, how the Party of Death can be defeated, and why its last victim might be the Democratic Party itself.

Ramesh Ponnuru, senior editor at National Review, is a graduate of Princeton University and has covered politics as a reporter for more than a dozen years. His journalism has appeared in the Wall Street Journal, Newsday, the Washington Times, the Weekly Standard, and Financial Times. He is also a frequent guest on television and radio political programs. A native of St. Louis, Missouri, he now lives in northern Virginia with his family.

National Review contributor Ramesh Ponnuru has just published his first book, The Party of Death. The Party of Death consists of politicians (not necessarily, but mostly, Democrats), the media and the courts. In witty and concise fashion, Ponnuru seeks to explain and defend the pro-life side of the cultural debate about issues like abortion, euthanasia and stem-cell research, draw back the vale of euphemisms currently surrounding them and examine the political effects of Roe v. Wade and the likely results if it should be overturned. Ponnuru begins by exposing many of the lies and misconceptions that surround Roe v. Wade. It is common for people to think that Roe allows abortions during the first trimester of pregnancy and restricts them thereafter. Indeed, the Roe opinion itself purports to do so. However, Roe allows for exceptions in the latter 2 trimesters for the health of the mother. In Roe's little known but very important companion case, Doe v. Bolton, the Court then proceeds to define the health of the mother so widely the result is the most radical abortion law of any nation on the planet: abortions at any time for any reason. Ponnuru argues that this misconception (that Roe allows only first trimester abortions), fostered by the pro-choice movement, the media, and pollsters, is the decisive factor when polls show nearly two thirds of the public support the Roe v. Wade decision. Another common error in regard to Roe v. Wade is the sentiment that its reversal would ban all abortions. But this would not be the case - the issue would merely be relegated back to the state legislatures, where it was before Roe swept aside all existing abortion laws and restrictions in 1973. Ponnuru then addresses the central question itself: the right to life. He argues that a human embryo, far from being a "clump of cells" or mere "protoplasm", is simply a human being at the earliest stage of development. Pro-choicers have sometimes argued that an embryo isn't like a "real" human being because it doesn't look like us: no fingers, no toes, no discernible human features. But, again, an embryo is a human at the earliest stage of development: we all looked like that at that age. The question, then, is whether every human being is to be afforded the right to life. There is no difference in kind whether an embryo a few days old is destroyed, or a fetus 6 months old, or a newborn baby. The pro-choicer must eventually argue that there is such a thing as a human being which is not also a person. Just being a living and functioning member of the species homo sapiens will not be enough. Personhood will have to be defined as having consciousness and some knowledge of one's own being. But this will lead us down a very thorny path. The author shows that by pro-choicers' own logic, it is very hard to admit a right to abortion without also positing a right to infanticide. For if a fetus 6 or 8 months old can be destroyed, why should parents not be allowed to destroy their newborn child? The newborn infant will not be a "person" by this definition either. Neither will the disabled and infirm. Indeed, we will be forced to come up with a definition of whose life is worth living and whose is not. If someone loses

their consciousness, but is not dead, are we allowed to kill them? Is a person like Terri Schiavo. whose brain could perform all the functions necessary to live and who lacked only the ability to feed herself, dispensable? Once we've established that some human beings are not persons and therefore have no right to live, why not go a step further? The severely handicapped and disabled will never have the quality of life an average person does. In the unborn and infants, the problem can be dealt with quickly - those who are not up to standard can be liquidated. For example, Down-syndrome cases have declined in the U.S. in recent years - because 75% - 80% of cases are detected prenatally and abortions are performed. Infanticide because of disability has already gained acceptance in Europe (Ponnuru says that 8% of infant deaths in the Netherlands are due to doctor-performed infanticide - and parental consent is not required) and is creeping in America. Those who perform and argue in favor of such policies congratulate themselves on how compassionate they are in deciding that the unfit should never be allowed to live at all. It was thought that the dogma of eugenics had died in the ashes of Nazi Germany. Not so. The inherent dignity and worth of every human is also belied by embryonic stem-cell research. The exciting promise of stem cell research is the cure for a range of diseases. Stem cells, theoretically, could be used to grow a tissue culture matching any cell of the human body - for instance, generating new neurons to inject into Alzheimer's patients to cure their affliction. The potential of this research has generated wild exaggerations - like the media repeatedly referring to "magic cures" or Vice Presidential candidate John Edwards telling an audience that "Christopher Reeve would get up out of his chair and walk" if he and John Kerry were elected. One problem with these claims is that they're overblown - the research probably will not lead to a cure for Alzheimer's. Another is that in order to get these supposed miracle cures, a human embryo has to be created and destroyed. Again, every human embryo, from the moment a sperm cell and an egg cell join, is a unique member of the human species at the earliest stage of development. There is no other definition for it. It possesses its own DNA and a distinctive genetic makeup that will allow it to grow into an adult human being. For embryonic stem-cell research to work, a few skin cells from a person would be taken and implanted into an embryo. The process rips the embryo apart and destroys the unique human it was to create a tissue culture matching the skin cell donor's own DNA. The skin cell donor has destroyed another member of its species to produce a clone of himself - and yes, clone is the correct word. This is where euphemisms come in. The public reacts very negatively to the term "cloning" and so its advocates try alternatives - "therapeutic cloning" or, to make sure no one knows what he's talking about, "somatic nuclear cell transfer". The advocate must rely on obfuscation or deception to pursue his goals. It is hard to truly gauge the public's stance on abortion

and other life issues - Ponnuru shows that the results of such polls are highly dependent on the language used by the pollsters. As mentioned earlier, a generic "Do you support the Roe v. Wade decision" question will generate a roughly two thirds majority in favor. But polls also show that a majority of Americans oppose abortion after the first trimester and support restrictions like parental notification. Likewise, a poll question such as "Do you support stem-cell research that may lead to a cure for Alzheimer's?" will generate strong positive support. But a question that makes clear an embryo is destroyed in the research usually finds opposition. The key for pro-lifers is to educate the public as best they can while not taking any steps that frighten them. Abortion has been the key to unlocking these other evils - infanticide, the devaluation of human life, embryonic stem-cell research, and so on. Roe v. Wade, and the public's subsequent acceptance of abortion, put us on a slippery slope and we've been sliding ever since. Ponnuru believes that incremental steps are necessary to finally win the battle for the pro-life side. He argues that pro-lifers were on the defensive from Roe v. Wade until the early 90's. Until that point, the pro-life movement's overriding goal had been a Constitutional amendment to guarantee the right to life from the moment of conception. But that was a goal the public did not support and that was not attainable. With the rise of the partial-birth abortion issue in the mid-90's, a tactical shift was under way. Pursuing smaller goals like restrictions on abortion, instead of an all-or-nothing ban, would advance the movement's goals more quickly and efficiently - and with the public's support. The Holy Grail of the incremental strategy, of course, is the reversal of Roe v. Wade. Once that is accomplished, the battle will move back to the states (where it never should have left, but more on that to follow). The incremental strategy will be accomplished most readily at the state level. If a state enacts a ban on abortion after the 15th week, for instance, pro-lifers might then call for a ban after the 14th week, and so on. The incremental shift, Ponnuru hopes, will also signal a cultural shift. As abortion becomes more restricted and less common, respect for human life will be restored. And if that happens, the problems of infanticide, euthanasia, embryo-destructive research, etc. will also be reduced. And then the Party of Death will finally be defeated. Ramesh Ponnuru has produced a tour de force in favor of the pro-life movement. The book makes light and easy reading for such a serious subject, while being clever and sharp. I am glad that Ponnuru eschews religious arguments in favor of cool logic. If one is engaged in a debate about these issues, one is likely enough to be dismissed as some sort of religious fanatic without giving explicit fodder to one's opponent. It is possible to be pro-life without being religious and vice versa, and it is a concern better left on the sidelines here. Conversely, I am disappointed that Ponnuru did not focus more on the pro-choice movement's propensity to sidestep the democratic process by appealing to the courts. The abortion issue is one

that should be decided by the people via their elected representatives, and as an issue not mentioned in the Constitution should be left to the states. Ponnuru discusses the courts and some of their decisions, obviously. Yet he does not point out, for instance, how Planned Parenthood and their ilk went shopping for plaintiffs and friendly courts to challenge laws they wanted struck down, in order to enact their agenda via judicial decree. Also, in discussing stem-cell research, Ponnuru mentions alternatives like adult stem-cells and cord-blood cells, which have yielded medical treatments for decades, but does not point out that embryonic stem-cell research has yet to generate any successful treatment or remedy (let alone miracle cures). These minor objections aside, this book gets my highest recommendation. Read it to inform and entertain yourself.

"The Party of Death" will unsettle those who lean towards or favor abortion, embryonic stem research, and/or euthanasia. Author Ramash Ponnuru, a senior editor at the National Review, writing with razor-edged moral acuity, skillfully debunks the excesses and hypocrisy of those promoting these as morally acceptable by scrupulously sticking to non-religious arguments. Ponnuru begins his book by correcting several myths relating to the Supreme Court's Roe V. Wade decision that it is a grand compromise between extremes, that the decision is more limited than it is, and that overturning Roe would criminalize all abortions. Ponnuru methodically demonstrates that these are not true. Roe and its companion case, Doe v Bolton, make abortion on-demand a constitutional right up until moments before the birth. States may regulate abortion in the second and third trimester, says the court, but not if they run afoul of the mother's "health." This is not a compromise when the interpretation of a "mother's health" is understood. "Health," as Ponnuru shows, can mean anything under the elastic category of a woman's overall "well-being." Ponnuru adds that the Supreme Court overreached and acted as a legislative body with the Roe decision. A point also eloquently made by former Senator John Danforth in his recent book, "Faith and Politics." Ponnuru notes that the public actually favors many restrictions on abortion and believes that the issue should be returned to the states where favored restrictions can be legislated."The Party of Death" moves from a detailed discussion of abortion to how the "culture of death" has been extrapolated and now threatens the elderly and disabled with weeding out the unfit, cloning, and euthanasia. The hypocrisy of these positions was further amplified by Nathanael Blake, in his June 2006 column: "Why do so many ardently support such a morally and logically untenable position, even though it contradicts their own stated principles. Those distressed by the clubbing of baby seals don't mind the murder of the club-footed. Those who support extending legal protection to apes because their abilities resemble those of mentally disabled humans encourage the killing of mentally disabled humans in utero...

People have been killing those who get in the way of the life they'd like for all of history. Even abortionists can be understood - those who will murder for money have long been among us."The "Party of Death" is not the Democratic Party but rather those who continue to spawn a convenient cultural disregard for "human life." Unfortunately, for the Democratic Party, these people have made the Democratic Party their home and have made it synonymous with abortion and death.Ponnuru's title is provocative. His book states bluntly what many people would rather couch in euphemism or, better yet, not say at all. Death is the important word. Ponnuru shows us how these choices, right or wrong, are a choice for death. And unfortunately, the debate, today, is over "what" is killed and who gets to decide. This is a must read for anyone interested in the landscape which the "Culture Wars" are being fought and in the future of western society.

I was looking for some propoganda to continue brainwashing the baby boomer generation. Thank goodness I found Ramesh Ponnuru's ramblings, loaded with extreme words, this here will scare the middle aged parent we all know and love! Looking to turn the tide against your fellow humans and civil rights? Then give this book as a present, and watch the conversion begin!

Download to continue reading...

The Party of Death: The Democrats, the Media, the Courts, and the Disregard for Human Life Social Media: Master Social Media Marketing - Facebook, Twitter, Youtube & Instagram (Social Media, Social Media Marketing, Facebook, Twitter, Youtube, Instagram, Pinterest) Guilty as Sin: Uncovering New Evidence of Corruption and How Hillary Clinton and the Democrats Derailed the FBI Investigation Callous Disregard: Autism and Vaccines: The Truth Behind a Tragedy The Art of Not Giving a Fuck: A Callous Adult Coloring Book of Disregard Reasons to Vote for Democrats: A Comprehensive Guide Bachelorette Party - Hen Party Planning Ideas, Themes, and Games: A Guide Book For Bachelorette Party Inspirations (Weddings by Sam Siv 13) Harry Potter Party Guide & Cookbook: An Unofficial Harry Potter Party Book With Magic Treats, Recipes, Potions, Spells, Games, Cookbook & More. Everything You Need For The Perfect Harry Potter Party. J.D. Robb -Eve Dallas In Death Series updated 2017 in reading order with Summaries and Checklist: Eve Dallas In Death Series listed in best reading order ... Includes Echoes in Death & Secrets in Death J. D. Robb CD Collection 9: Creation in Death, Strangers in Death, Salvation in Death (In Death Series) J. D. Robb CD Collection 8: Memory in Death, Born in Death, Innocent in Death (In Death Series) J. D. Robb CD Collection 4: Witness in Death, Judgment in Death, Betrayal in Death (In Death Series) Sport Beyond Television: The Internet, Digital Media and the Rise of Networked Media Sport (Routledge Research in Cultural and Media Studies) Social Media: Dominating

Strategies for Social Media Marketing with Twitter, Facebook, Youtube, LinkedIn and Instagram: Social Media, Network Marketing, Book 1 Social Media: Strategies To Mastering Your Brand-Facebook, Instagram, Twitter and Snapchat (Social Media, Social Media Marketing) Social Media: 30 Marketing Strategies for Facebook, Twitter and Instagram (Social Media, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Social Media Marketing) Nursing: Human Science And Human Care (Watson, Nursing: Human Science and Human Care) Media Ecology: An Approach to Understanding the Human Condition (Understanding Media Ecology) A Matter of Interpretation: Federal Courts and the Law (The University Center for Human Values Series) Dangerous Beauty - Life and Death in Africa: Life and Death In Africa: True Stories From a Safari Guide

Contact Us

DMCA

Privacy

FAQ & Help